Case 1:20-cv-02507-KHP Document 40 Filed 01/26/21 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Roberto Gonzalez Villegas et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Caribbean Produce Inc. et al.,

Defendants.

| ica olizoizi i | age 2 01 2 |
|----------------------|------------|
| USDC SDNY | |
| DOCUMENT | |
| ELECTRONICALLY FILED | |
| DOC #: | · · |
| DATE FILED: | 1/26/2021 |
| | |

1:20-cv-2507 (KHP)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

KATHARINE H. PARKER, United States Magistrate Judge:

In this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New York Labor Law, which is before this Court on the consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties, having reached an agreement in principle to resolve the action, have placed their proposed settlement agreement before this Court for approval. *See Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 1999 (2d Cir. 2015) (requiring judicial fairness review of FLSA settlements). Plaintiffs have also submitted a memorandum of law detailing why they believe the proposed settlement agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. (ECF No. 35.) This Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' submissions in order to determine whether the proposed agreement represents a reasonable compromise of the claims asserted in this action, and, in light of the totality of the relevant circumstances, including the representations made in Plaintiffs' memorandum of law, the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, and this Court's own familiarity with the strengths and weaknesses of the parties' positions (as became evident during multiple telephone conferences conducted by this Court for the purpose of assisting the parties with settlement), it is hereby ORDERED that:

Case 1:20-cv-02507-KHP Document 40 Filed 01/26/21 Page 2 of 2

1. The Court finds that the terms of the proposed settlement agreement are fair,

reasonable, and adequate, both to redress Plaintiffs' claims in this action and to compensate

Plaintiffs' counsel for their legal fees, and the agreement is therefore approved.

2. In accordance with the parties' request, this Court will retain jurisdiction over this

matter for the purpose of enforcing the settlement agreement, if necessary.

3. As a result of the Court's approval of the parties' proposed settlement, this action

is hereby discontinued with prejudice and without costs, provided, however, that, within 30 days

of the date of this Order, if any aspect of written documentation of the settlement is not

completed, then Plaintiffs may apply by letter for the restoration of the action to the active

calendar of the Court.

4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case on the Docket of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York January 26, 2021

SO ORDERED

KATHARINE H. PARKER

United States Magistrate Judge

Copies to:

All counsel (via ECF)

2